

Agenda Briefing Forum – 24 November 2020 Summary

Meeting	Agenda Briefing Forum – for OCM 24 November 2020		
Location	Council Chambers		
Date Time	24 November 2020	Start Time	6:30pm
		Finish Time	7:12pm

1. ATTENDANCE

Members

West Ward

Cr Dan Bull, Mayor (Chairperson)
Cr Giorgia Johnson

Central Ward

Cr Steven Ostaszewskyj
Cr Sally Palmer
Cr Barry McKenna

North Ward

Cr Michelle Sutherland
Cr Filomena Piffaretti, Deputy Mayor

South Ward

Cr Elli Petersen-Pik
Cr Catherine Ehrhardt

Officers

Mr Andrew Brien	Chief Executive Officer
Mr Doug Pearson	Director Major Projects
Mr Des Abel	A/Director Corporate and Strategy
Mr George Rimpas	A/Director Works and Infrastructure
Ms Helen Smith	A/Director Community and Development
Ms Cassandra Flanigan	Executive Support/Research Officer
Mr Jon Vines	Manager Project Services
Mr Mark Short	A/Manager Parks and Gardens
Mr Dan West	A/Manager Sustainability and Environment
Ms Alix Bray	A/Manager Strategic Planning and Place
Mr David Bruce	Coordinator Project Services

Apologies

Cr Lorna Clarke
Cr Stephanie Gray

2. DISCLOSURE OF INTEREST SUMMARY

A member who has an interest in any matter to be discussed at an Agenda Briefing Forum, Council or Committee meeting that will be attended by the member must disclose the nature of the interest -

- (a) in a written notice given to the CEO before the meeting; or
- (b) at the meeting immediately before the matter is discussed.

The following disclosures of interest were made at the Agenda Briefing Forum and will also apply at the Ordinary Council Meeting when the matter is considered:

Nil.

3. DEPUTATIONS

1. Stone Street - Traffic Calming Treatment - Lot 13, 9 and Lot 283, 10 Stone Street, Maylands

In relation to Item 10.3.1, Mr David Clayton (Owner of 9 Stone Street, Maylands), nominated spokesperson on behalf of affected residents (8, 9, 10 and 11 Stone Street) was in attendance, speaking against the officer's recommendation (refer page 327).

2. Transformation of Lyric Lange, Maylands in a Part-time Public Space

In relation to Item 10.4.2, Mr Konrad de Ruyter (Business Owner and Resident of 177 Guildford Road, Maylands), was in attendance speaking on the item (*refer page 395*).

3. Transformation of Lyric Lange, Maylands in a Part-time Public Space

In relation to Item 10.4.2, Mr Fil Pakioufakis (Business Owner of 18 Lyric Lane, Maylands), was in attendance speaking on the item (*refer page 395*).

The following questions were taken on notice at the briefing and responses are provided below to

4. QUESTIONS FROM ELECTED MEMBERS ON AGENDA ITEMS

The following questions were taken on notice at the briefing and responses are provided below to assist Councillors in their deliberations on the matter.

QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS TAKEN ON NOTICE

1.	Item 10.3.1 – Stone Street- Traffic Calming Treatment- Lot 13, 9 and Lot 283, 10 Stone Street, Maylands
Question(s)	Response / Action
Cr Catherine Ehrhardt	Acting Director Works and Infrastructure
<i>Was a traffic count and speed study done prior to the installation of the cushions? What were the results?</i>	Traffic counts were undertaken in 2008 on both Queen Street and Stone Street that were utilised for the Citywide Traffic Study (CWTS). The results indicated that the 85th percentile

	<p>speeds on Queen Street were in excess of 10 km/hr above the speed limit and Stone Street was in excess of 5 km/hr above the speed limit.</p> <p>The recorded results taken from the CWTS were as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="667 472 1469 887"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="667 472 1034 607">Queen Street (2008)</th> <th data-bbox="1034 472 1265 607">Average Weekday Traffic Volume</th> <th data-bbox="1265 472 1469 607">85th Percentile Speed</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="667 607 1034 678">South of Snell Street</td> <td data-bbox="1034 607 1265 678">1631 vehicles/day</td> <td data-bbox="1265 607 1469 678">61 km/hr</td> </tr> <tr> <th data-bbox="667 678 1034 813">Stone Street (2008)</th> <th data-bbox="1034 678 1265 813">Average Weekday Traffic Volume</th> <th data-bbox="1265 678 1469 813">85th Percentile Speed</th> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="667 813 1034 887">South of Snell Street</td> <td data-bbox="1034 813 1265 887">515 vehicles/day</td> <td data-bbox="1265 813 1469 887">56 km/hr</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Queen Street (2008)	Average Weekday Traffic Volume	85th Percentile Speed	South of Snell Street	1631 vehicles/day	61 km/hr	Stone Street (2008)	Average Weekday Traffic Volume	85th Percentile Speed	South of Snell Street	515 vehicles/day	56 km/hr
Queen Street (2008)	Average Weekday Traffic Volume	85th Percentile Speed											
South of Snell Street	1631 vehicles/day	61 km/hr											
Stone Street (2008)	Average Weekday Traffic Volume	85th Percentile Speed											
South of Snell Street	515 vehicles/day	56 km/hr											
<p>Cr Elli Petersen-Pik</p>	<p>Acting Director Works and Infrastructure</p>												
<p><i>How did the Stone Street issues arise in the context of the CWTS?</i></p>	<p>The installation of speed humps on both Queen Street and Stone Street was undertaken in accordance with the recommendations of the Citywide Traffic Study (CWTS) Implementation Plan which was endorsed by Council in March 2019. The CWTS had identified excessive speeding on these sections of Queen Street and Stone Street, as such the study made recommendations on appropriate traffic calming measures to be implemented as Priority One measures which are measures to be implemented in the first instance. The diagram at Attachment 1 is taken from the CWTS depicting the recommended Priority One measures.</p> <p>The study recommended that the proposed measures for both Queen Street and Stone Street be implemented concurrently to discourage through traffic being pushed from one local road to another.</p>												
<p>Further Information regarding speed cushion treatments implemented in Kenilworth Street.</p>	<p>Acting Director Works and Infrastructure</p>												
	<p>The City has no record of receiving any formal complaints emanating from the speed cushions on Kenilworth Street. The City did receive positive feedback after installation.</p>												
<p>2.</p>	<p>Item 14.1.2 – Disposal of Land, Lot 171, 411 Guildford Road, Bayswater</p>												
<p>Question(s)</p>	<p>Response / Action</p>												
<p>Cr Dan Bull, Mayor</p>	<p>Acting Director Community and Development</p>												
<p><i>Can I receive some information on the level of danger in relation to asbestos identified on the asbestos report?</i></p>	<p>The asbestos has been identified on the wall cladding next to the front door; eaves, porch ceiling; meter box and boundary fence (west side). The condition of these identified locations of asbestos is that they are in moderate deterioration in that</p>												

	<p>the walls have been painted at some time however they do present a risk if they are disturbed or the paint starts to peel off. Accordingly the presence of asbestos in these situations would be that they would be managed by monitoring, maintaining as sealed, until remedial action is completed.</p> <p>Removal before possible disturbance is recommended such as on demolition, partial demolition, renovation or refurbishment to ensure potential health risks do not arise.</p> <p>The legislation that is applicable if the materials have deteriorated or disturbances ie during renovation/upgrade are the <i>Health (Asbestos) Regulations 1992</i> and the <i>Occupational Health and Safety Regulations 1996</i>.</p>
<p><i>Can we please receive the legislative basis that outlines what has to be improved to the building and why?</i></p>	<p>The legislative requirements for upgrades or improvements to buildings is most relevant with the requirements for disability access and is required in certain circumstances which are shown below:</p> <p>Where a change of use/classification is proposed then the building would require an occupancy permit for the new classification whereby it would need to meet the current disability access requirements as per the <i>National Construction Code (NCC)</i> and the <i>Disability (Access to Premises – Buildings Standards 2010)</i>. This would require significant upgrades to provide accessibility to and within the building.</p> <p>Where new building works are proposed and these works require a building permit, then compliance with the requirements of the <i>NCC</i> and <i>Disability (Access to Premises – Buildings Standards 2010)</i> come into effect. This would essentially, in a building of this type, require disability access from the main pedestrian entrance to the facilities and any new or modified part of the building. Again this would require significant upgrades.</p> <p>The purpose and intent of the above legislation is to ensure that there is dignified and equitable access to buildings.</p> <p>Notwithstanding the above, if no upgrades or improvements are made, if a person with a disability experiences discrimination because the building or feature is not accessible, or because of discriminatory treatment, a complaint can still be made directly under the provisions of the <i>Disability Discrimination Act 1992(DDA)</i></p>

Attachment 1

